

1 DAVID T. BIDERMAN, Bar No. 101577
2 TIMOTHY J. FRANKS, Bar No. 197645
3 M. CHRISTOPHER JHANG, Bar No. 211463
4 FARSCHAD FARZAN, Bar No. 215194

5 **PERKINS COIE LLP**

6 Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 2400
7 San Francisco, California 94111
8 Telephone: (415) 344-7000
9 Facsimile: (415) 344-7050
10 Email: DBiderman@perkinscoie.com
11 Email: TFranks@perkinscoie.com
12 Email: CJhang@perkinscoie.com
13 Email: FFarzan@perkinscoie.com

14 Attorneys for Defendant GOOGLE INC.

15
16
17 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

18 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION**

19 CLRB HANSON INDUSTRIES, LLC d/b/a
20 INDUSTRIAL PRINTING, and HOWARD
21 STERN, on behalf of themselves and all others
22 similarly situated,

23 Plaintiffs,

24 v.

25 GOOGLE INC.,

26 Defendant.

CASE NO. C O5-03649 JW

James Ware
[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
GOOGLE INC.'S ADMINISTRATIVE
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE
DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL IN
CONNECTION WITH ITS MOTION
FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY
JUDGMENT ON PLAINTIFFS' "120%
RULE" AND "PARTIAL MONTH"
CLAIMS

Date: November 10, 2008
Time: 9:00 a.m.
Dept.: Courtroom 8
Judge: Honorable James Ware

1 Defendant Google Inc.'s ("Google") Administrative Motion for Leave to File Documents
2 Under Seal in Connection with Google's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on Plaintiffs'
3 "120% Rule" and "Partial Month" Claims was heard before this Court on November 10, 2008, at
4 9:00 a.m. Upon consideration of this Motion and the supporting Declaration of David T.
5 Biderman filed therewith, the Court finds there is good cause to grant Google's request to file the
6 following documents and information under seal:

7 **Declaration of Adam Samet in support of Google's Motion for Partial**
8 **Summary Judgment on Plaintiffs' "120% Rule" and "Partial Month"**
9 **Claims, and Exhibits 1, 2, 3, and 4 attached thereto.**


10 GOOD CAUSE having been shown, the Court finds that:

- 11 (1) The parties possess overriding confidentiality interests that overcome the right of
12 public access to the record in the following documents;
13 (2) The parties' overriding confidentiality interests support sealing the record;
14 (3) A substantial probability exists that the parties' overriding confidentiality interests
15 will be prejudiced if the record is not sealed;
16 (4) The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and
17 (5) No less restrictive means exist to achieve this overriding interest.

18 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Google's Motion for Leave to File Documents
19 Under Seal is GRANTED.

20
21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

22
23 DATED: November 18, 2008

24 
The Honorable James Ware
United States District Judge